Mr. Thomas J. Kelley Assistant Director U.S. Secret Servica Washington, A.C. 20226

Dear Mr. Melley,

Dr. Rhoeds has forwarded your letter of the 3rd and its enclosures. Although this is in sharp contradiction to the existing record, I do essure you I welcome this, am grateful for it; and want wery much to believe it marks a ghift to a new and proper policy. I hope you will review the earlier file and correct any other errors.

zone questions remain. I write in an effort to get the enswere.

You say "we do not have" copies of the two receipts of 11/22/63 (one may be 11/23/63) for the sheet, drapes and shroud and for the photographic materials. I believe these papers were directed to you. Sen you obtain copies for me from whomever you gave them to, or can you direct me to them? These receipts certainly must exist within the government.

Fort of the certificate of death is illegible. Are the words after "immediate cause" "Guashot of Brain"? After 22e, what is the signature?

Rether then "letter" from Captain Stover "concerning laws and regulations regarding the confidential nature of the events" there is an unaddressed memorandum from him which deals with neither. I can understand that under the circumstances the language may nave been imprecise, but I am asking is this memo the letter referred to or is there something else?

The receives connot identify for me the "missib" for which the FBI egents signed a receipt. They suggest 0.843, which is not a single object but seems to be not fewer than three tiny freements the largest of which would hardly be called a "missib". Yet there is the receipt for but one object. San you identify this "missic" for me in the avidence and do you know of any other similar receipts, including for what the 1968 penel report describes as a "rectangular structure"?

The 11/23/63 letter to idmirel Burkley from Dr. Yemp Clark was removed by the Warren Commission when it published the accompanying "summary". One you will me why, if you know, and the source of what was substituted in the Report and in Exhibit 3927 Fee this done before the document removed the Commission, if you know!

Existing official accounts of the photographic materials re inconsistent and cotradictory. This is one of the ressons I seek the receipt for them. Heve you any life or tabulation of them and can you account for the reported desegres?

Sincerely, derold delaberg